UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE                          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,339                                  | 08/23/2006                           | Hidehiko Shin           | 2006_1397A          | 6998             |
|   | 7590 04/14/201<br>L, LIND & PONACK I | EXAMINER                |                     |                  |
| 1030 15th Stree                             |                                      | PHANTANA ANGKOOL, DAVID |                     |                  |
| Suite 400 East<br>Washington, DC 20005-1503 |                                      |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| <i>C</i> ,                                  |                                      |                         | 2175                |                  |
|   |                                      |                         |                     |                  |
|   |                                      |                         | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                      |                         | 04/14/2010          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/590,339      | SHIN ET AL.  |  |
|                 |              |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |

|   | David Phantana-angkool   | 2175  |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP  |  |   |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:   | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance w | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origin                                   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or   | nsideration and/or search (see NOT<br>w);  | E below);   |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)   | 16 and 41.33(a)).  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>   | ·  |   | ,  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  | ·  | -   | -  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ∐ will ided below or appended.  | l be entered and an e   | xplanation of                            |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>and was not earlier presented. Se   | ıl and/or appellant fail:<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1                         | s to provide a<br>).                     |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  |  | •   |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
| /William L. Bashore/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175  |  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments have been carefully reviewed and are considered to raise new issues with respect to some of independent claims 18 and 20. Amended claim 18 further recites "wherein the device resource reports...said conversation section". The proposed amendments require further searching and reconsideration by the Examiner, therefore the amendment will not be entered.